Phonon Thermal Properties of Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ Heterostructure
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ABSTRACT: Two prototype transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) materials, MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$, have attracted growing attention as promising 2D semiconductors. The heterostructure created by stacking the 2D monolayers in the out-of-plane direction exhibits peculiar properties that can be utilized in electronic applications. The lateral and flexural phonon transport behaviors in MoS$_2$/MoSe$_2$ heterobilayer are comprehensively investigated using classical molecular dynamics simulations. In-plane thermal conductivity ($\kappa$) and out-of-plane interfacial thermal resistance ($R$) are calculated by nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) and transient pump–probe methods, respectively. Thermal conductivity of MoS$_2$/MoSe$_2$ bilayer 2D sheet is characterized as 28.8 W/m·K, which preserves the high thermal conductivity of most TMDC materials. The maximum reductions of MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, and heterobilayer amount to 83.0, 68.9, and 77.1%, respectively, with increasing temperatures from 100 to 500 K. It is also found that the basal-plane thermal performance of MoS$_2$/MoSe$_2$ bilayer will not be affected by interfacial interactions, which is important in industrial applications. The predicted out-of-plane flexural phonon conductance results reveal that heat flux runs preferably from MoS$_2$ to MoSe$_2$ than in the reverse direction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heterostructures play a crucial role in high-speed nanoelectronic and optoelectronic devices and are essential elements in semiconductor industries. Molecular beam epitaxy and epitaxial growth techniques have long been used to fabricate atomic-order thickness heterostructures. However, only limited combinations of materials can be used for good heteroepitaxial growths due to the severe lattice matching conditions restricted by the component materials. The van der Waals (vdW) interfaces have been proven to overcome the obstacle of realizing lattice matching heterostructures. The so-called vdW epitaxy was enabled by stacking different 2D materials into heterobilayers. Because of the weak vdW interaction, the electronic properties can be maintained for individual monolayers. In pursuit of extraordinary 2D materials beyond graphene, enormous attention was given to transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) materials, which exhibit superb thermal and electrical properties. Molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) and molybdenum diselenide (MoSe$_2$) are two prototype semiconductors in the family of TMDCs. Both MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ show an indirect-gap to direct-gap transition when the system size reduces from bulk to monolayers, making it superior to pristine graphene that has no band gap in nanoelectronics applications. The integration of MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ monolayers into a heterostructure offers the possibility to create devices with peculiar functionalities.

The successful synthesis of seamless heterostructures of different TMDCs via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method has provided an effective solution to produce in-plane $p$–$n$ junctions, which are critical components in electronic and optoelectronic device applications. Gong et al. used a one-step growth strategy to create WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ vertical heterostructures, which exhibited strong localized photoluminescence enhancement and intrinsic $p$–$n$ junctions. In a similar study, He et al. observed both neutral and charged excitons with large binding energies in the WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ bilayer using both experimental and first-principles methods. A prominent voltage-dependent photoresponse was observed in WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ by Son et al. using conductive and photocurrent spectroscopic atomic force microscopy. A relatively lower conductivity is recorded in the lateral junction region because of the energy change in the valence and conduction band edges.

Received: March 17, 2017
Revised: April 25, 2017
Published: May 1, 2017

DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02547
Recently, it has been reported that both the vertical electric field and tensile strain can effectively adjust the bandgaps of MoS2/MoSe2 bilayers with a lattice mismatch of <5%, making it a promising candidate for optoelectronic applications due to its tunable bandgaps. By employing density functional theory (DFT) computations, Ma et al. investigated heterostructured bilayers of TMDCs. Tunable bandgaps are achieved by manipulating the stretching or compressing pressures, which makes the bilayer structure a promising candidate for electronic device applications. Yan et al. measured the thermal conductivity of MoS2 as 34.5 W/m·K at temperature 300 K, which is comparable to that of silicon. Using molecular dynamics method, Hong et al. calculated the thermal conductivity of MoS2 and MoSe2 as 110.43 and 43.88 W/m·K, respectively, with no anisotropy in the in-plane modes resulting from the presence of substrate-induced strain. By solving Boltzmann transport equation, Gandi et al. predicted the thermal conductivity of monolayer MoS2 as 131 W/m·K at temperature 300 K, which is comparable to that of silicon. Using molecular dynamics method, Hong et al. calculated the thermal conductivity of MoS2 and MoSe2 as 110.43 and 43.88 W/m·K, respectively, with no anisotropy in armchair and zigzag chiral. Peng et al. predicted thermal conductivity of MoSe2 as 17.6 W/m·K using first-principles calculations. Although the reported results have covered thermal properties of individual MoS2 and MoSe2 structures, the combined thermal properties for their bilayer heterostructure remain unexplored.

In this work, we studied the phonon transport behavior of MoS2/MoSe2 bilayer by calculating its lattice thermal conductivity and interfacial thermal resistance using classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. We first investigate the dependence of thermal conductivity on crystal size, and extract the bulk thermal conductivity. After that, temperature dependence of thermal conductivity is examined from 100 to 500 K. We also investigate the effect of interlayer coupling strength on predicted in-plane thermal conductivities. The velocity density of state is evaluated to gain a better understanding of the phonon behaviors. Finally, the out-of-plane thermal transport between MoS2 and MoSe2 is characterized under different temperatures and heat flux directions.

2. MODELING METHODS

For in-plane thermal conductivity characterization, nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) method is used with a heat-source placed in the middle and split heat-sinks at two ends. Widths of the heat-source and heat-sink are 2 and 1 nm, respectively. The initial structure is placed in canonical ensemble (NVT) for 500 ps (1 ps = 10^{-12} s) to reach steady state at temperature 300 K. Afterward, the simulation system is switched to microcanonical ensemble (NVE) for NEMD calculations. Temperature controls in the heat reservoirs are realized by the Langevin thermostat. The thermal energies added to the heat source and extracted from the heat sinks are equal so that the system’s total energy conserves. The cumulative energies added/extracted to the atoms are recorded at each time step to calculate the heat fluxes in the monolayers. Thermal energies in MoS2 and MoSe2 heat reservoirs are recorded separately. The totally added/extracted energy of the bilayer equals the addition of the energies from the monolayers. Another 2.5 ns NVE NEMD simulation is performed to build a steady-state temperature gradient. Results from the last 1 ns are used for thermal conductivity characterization. On the basis of Fourier’s law of heat conduction, thermal conductivity \( \kappa \) can be calculated from

\[
\kappa = -\dot{q}/VT
\]

where \( \dot{q} \) is heat flux (W/m²) and \( VT \) is temperature gradient (K/m). The heat flux \( \dot{q} \) is defined as \( \dot{q} = J/2A_{c} \), where \( J \) is the added/extracted thermal energy and \( A_{c} \) is the cross-sectional area. It is worth noting that because the heat flux flows in two opposite directions symmetrically, the thermal energy needs to be divided by a factor of 2 for \( \dot{q} \) calculations.

When calculating the lateral thermal conductivity of 2D monolayer structures, the material’s thickness needs to be selected with great caution. Conventionally, the vdW distance between adjacent layers in the bulk structure is used as the layer thickness for the lateral thermal conductivity of monolayer structures.
thickness.\(^{22-24}\) Under such scenario, thickness of MoS\(_2\) \(d_{\text{MoS}_2}\) is set as 6.1475 Å and thickness of MoSe\(_2\) \(d_{\text{MoSe}_2}\) is 6.469 Å.\(^{19}\) The overall thickness of the bilayer \(d_\text{b}\) is the addition of \(d_{\text{MoS}_2}\) and \(d_{\text{MoSe}_2}\) which equals 12.6165 Å. Recently, Wu et al.\(^{25}\) argued that thickness is not a well-defined quantity for 2D monolayer materials, and thus the same thickness should be used for all 2D materials when comparing their thermal conductivities. The thickness should be regarded as a numerator that needs be unified for fair comparisons of thermal conductivity. Therefore, they used the thickness of graphene 3.35 Å to calculate the heat-transfer capabilities of different 2D materials. To avoid the ambiguous definition of thickness, Wu et al. proposed a new concept defined as \(k = -\dot{Q}/(w\cdot V T)\), where \(Q\) stands for heat energy, \(w\) is the width of the system, and \(V T\) is the temperature gradient. However, as stated by Wu et al., this new quantity \(k\) named as “thermal sheet conductance” (W/K) is intrinsically different from the widely adopted definition of thermal conductivity (W/m·K) used by the academic thermal scientists. To keep consistent with previous studies, in this work, the vdW thickness values are adopted to calculate the in-plane thermal conductivities.

Simulations in this work are performed by the Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS).\(^{26}\) Periodic boundary conditions are used in the in-plane \(x\) and \(y\) directions to eliminate edge effects. Free boundary condition is applied in the out-of-plane \(z\) direction to allow the bilayer system to be fully relaxed. Structures of MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) are shown in Figure 1. A small lattice mismatch of 2.5% is induced in the monolayers to achieve unified lattice constant. The initial distance between MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) is set to 7.12 Å, which will be further adjusted upon equilibrium calculations. The final distance between MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) equals 6.83 Å after structural relaxations. Atomic interactions within MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) monolayers are described by the Stillinger-Weber potential,\(^{27}\) which can be expressed as

\[
\Phi(1, \ldots, N) = \sum_{i<j} V_2(r_{ij}) + \sum_{i<j<k} V_3(r_{ij}, r_{jk}, \theta_{ij})
\]  

(2)

The two-body \(V_2\) and three-body \(V_3\) interactions are written, respectively, as

\[
V_2 = A \left( \frac{B}{r^6} - 1 \right) \exp \left( \frac{\gamma}{r - r_{\text{cut}}} \right)
\]  

(3)

\[
V_3 = K \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{r_{ij} - r_0} + \frac{\gamma_2}{r_{jk} - r_1} \right) (\cos \theta - \cos \theta_0)^2
\]  

(4)

where \(A, B, K, \gamma, r_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, r_1, \theta_0\) are parameters to identify a reasonable choice of \(V_2\) and \(V_3\); \(r_0\) and \(r_1\) represent the atom distances between \(i, j\) and \(j, k\).\(^{28}\) The exponential functions provide a smooth and rapid decay of the interaction potential to zero and keep the potential short-range, which is important for efficient MD calculations. Parameter \(\theta\) is the angle between two neighbor bonds and \(\theta_0\) stands for the equilibrium angle. Overall, the \(V_2\) term describes the pairwise bond length variations and the \(V_3\) term characterizes the neighboring bond angle variations. The van der Waals (vdW) interaction between MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) is described by the 12–6 Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential

\[
V(r) = 4\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{6} \right]
\]  

(5)

where \(\sigma\) is the distance parameter (Å), \(\epsilon\) is the energy parameter (eV), and \(r\) is the interatomic distance. Parameter \(\gamma\) is used to adjust the interlayer coupling strength. The LJ parameters are taken from the universal force field (UFF) table.\(^{29}\) Values of \(\sigma\) and \(\epsilon\) for Se–S, Se–Mo, S–Mo, and Mo–Mo interactions are listed in Table 1. The cutoff distance for each pair interactions is set to 2.5\(\sigma\). Time step is 0.5 fs \((1 \text{ fs} = 10^{-15} \text{ s})\) for all simulations.

| Table 1. Lennard-Jones Parameters for MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) vdW Interactions |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Se–S            | Se–Mo           | S–Mo            | Mo–Mo           |
| \(\sigma\) (Å)  | 3.670           | 3.192           | 3.126           | 2.719           |
| \(\epsilon\) (meV) | 12.262          | 5.543           | 5.379           | 2.432           |

To calculate the interfacial thermal resistance between MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\), a transient pump–probe method is applied. This transient technique has been successfully used to investigate the thermal properties of graphene/Si,\(^{30}\) graphene/boron-nitride,\(^{31}\) phosphorene/Si,\(^{32}\) and silicon/SiO\(_2\).\(^{33}\) After the MoS\(_2\)/MoSe\(_2\) bilayer reaches steady state at equilibrium temperature, an ultrafast heat impulse of 50 fs is applied to one of the monolayers, for example, MoS\(_2\). Upon the excitation, temperature of MoS\(_2\) \((T_{\text{MoS}_2})\) will rise to a higher value, while the temperature of MoSe\(_2\) \((T_{\text{MoSe}_2})\) remains unchanged. Because the only thermal pathway between the monolayers is interfacial thermal conduction, thermal energies accumulated in MoS\(_2\) will quickly dissipate to MoSe\(_2\) due to the temperature differences \((\Delta T)\). In the following thermal relaxation process, the total energy of MoS\(_2\) \((E_t)\) and temperature evolutions of MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) are recorded at each time step. The classic definition of thermal resistance is expressed as \(R = (T_1 - T_2)/\dot{q}\), where \(R\) is thermal resistance \((\text{K·m}^2/\text{W})\), \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) are the driven temperatures \((\text{K})\), and \(\dot{q}\) is heat flux \((\text{W/m}^2)\).\(^{34}\) After the heat impulse, energy dissipation is through the heat conduction from MoS\(_2\) to MoSe\(_2\) until the system reaches thermal equilibrium again. Therefore, the transient heat flux is correlated to the energy variations in MoS\(_2\) with time, which can be expressed as \(\dot{q} = dE/(dt\cdot A)\), where \(A\) is the cross-sectional area based on the system’s lateral dimensions. On the basis of the above discussions, interfacial thermal resistance can be calculated by

\[
\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial t} = A \frac{(T_{\text{MoSe}_2} - T_{\text{MoS}_2})}{R}
\]  

(6)

The collected data points are averaged every 100 time steps to suppress data noise. The integral form \(E_t = E_0 + (A/R) \int_0^t (T_{\text{MoSe}_2} - T_{\text{MoS}_2}) \, dt\) is used for date fitting to find the optimum \(R\) value using the least-squares method. Symbol \(E_0\) represents the initial energy. Correspondingly, when the thermal impulse is imposed on MoSe\(_2\) instead of MoS\(_2\), the interfacial thermal resistance can be calculated based on the energy of MoSe\(_2\). In this work, thermal transport in both directions \((\text{MoS}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{MoSe}_2)\) is investigated.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To calculate the lateral thermal conductivity of the hybrid MoS$_2$–MoSe$_2$ system, a heterostructure with dimensions of 60.3 × 3.1 (x × y) nm$^2$ is created. Heat flux is applied in the length x direction from center to the edges. As shown in Figure 2a, the heat-source/heat-sink temperatures are controlled at $T + \Delta T$ and $T - \Delta T$ respectively, where $\Delta T$ equals 50 K. After the system reaches equilibrium at $T = 300$ K, temperatures of heat reservoirs at two ends are set at 250 K and the middle is set at 350 K. Temperature distributions of the system at steady state are shown in Figure 2b. It can be observed that there is a sharp temperature drop near the heating/cooling regions. Similar phenomena have been reported for various systems under NEMD simulations. The nonlinear regions are caused by the fast kinetic and potential energy exchanges within the heat reservoirs and therefore should be excluded in the linear fitting. Temperature regions within the black brackets in Figure 2b are used for the VT calculations. The predicted VT for MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, and bilayer are 2.11, 2.19, and 2.18 K/nm, respectively. Temperature gradients are very close between individual monolayers with a 3.8% discrepancy. The accumulated thermal energies added/subtracted to heat reservoirs of MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ are 0.742 and 0.478 eV/ps. Thermal conductivities of MoS$_2$ ($\kappa_{\text{MoS}_2}$), MoSe$_2$ ($\kappa_{\text{MoSe}_2}$), and bilayer ($\kappa_b$) are predicted to be 14.6, 8.6, and 11.4 W/m·K for the same system length of 60.3 nm, respectively. The calculated $\kappa_{\text{MoS}_2}$ and $\kappa_{\text{MoSe}_2}$ are very close to previous NEMD and equilibrium molecular dynamics (EMD) results. It is worth noting that classical MD simulations do not include any quantum effect, and all vibrational modes are excited regardless of temperature in the simulations. Some high-frequency modes are not excited when temperature is lower than Debye temperature. The Debye temperatures of MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ are 262.3 and 177.6 K, respectively, based on first-principles calculations, which is lower or around the MD temperatures used in this work. The low-frequency phonons that are the most important contributors to thermal transport are fully excited at this temperature range; therefore, quantum correction is not necessary. Besides, to make sure the system temperature distributions after NEMD calculations are still in linear response region, different values of $\Delta T$ are used to investigate the convergence of thermal conductivity on temperature difference. Aside from the above-mentioned $\Delta T = 50$ K, other values of 20, 30, and 40 K are employed. The calculated thermal conductivities for a 14.1 × 3.1 (x × y) heterostructure with different $\Delta T$ are shown in Figure 3. The
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Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the NEMD setup on the heterostructure. Periodic boundary conditions are applied to the lateral directions and free boundary is used in the out-of-plane direction. (b) Temperature distributions in MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, and heterobilayer at steady state. (c) Aggregated energies added/subtracted in the heat reservoirs.
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Figure 3. Variations of thermal conductivity $\kappa$ with system width w and temperature difference $\Delta T$. Illustrations of w and $\Delta T$ are shown in Figure 2a. The predicted $\kappa$ is independent of w from 3 to 15 nm or $\Delta T$ from 20 to 50 K.
has very coarse resolution, and the presented phonons are too few to reproduce the phonon-phonon scatterings in bulk materials. Because the system dimensions in NEMD simulations are, in general, much larger than those in EMD simulations, this effect can be safely neglected. However, because the width direction (w) of the bilayer is much smaller than that of the length direction (l), convergence of thermal conductivity on w is investigated. Different w values of 3.1, 6.1, 9.1, 12.0, and 14.6 nm are used, and the calculated results are shown in Figure 3. Similar to the convergence study of ΔT, the same system length of 14.1 nm is used. System width is denoted on the bottom x axis, and corresponding κ values are shown on the left y axis. It shows that thermal conductivities do not change with enlarging w. Therefore, the smallest w value of 3.1 nm is chosen in this work for κ calculations to reduce the computational cost.

The other factor for the size-dependent thermal conductivity arises from the phonon scatterings at the sample/reservoir boundaries. When the sample length is smaller than the phonon mean free path (MFP), thermal transport becomes ballistic, meaning certain phonon modes can transmit from the heat-source to heat-sink without scattering. The transport will gradually switch to diffusive when system length is increased. Because the ballistic thermal transport contributes less to the overall thermal conductivity due to their reduced MFP, the calculated κ results change with length on small scales. To address the length dependence of in-plane thermal conductivity, different lengths of 14.1, 30.0, 60.3, 120.1, 239.9, and 399.5 nm are used. The calculated κ results are shown in Figure 4a. It is observed that κ increases monotonically with system length and gradually converges at the highest length values. The calculated κ_MoS2, κ_MoSe2, and κ_b increase from 7.0, 3.1, and 4.8 W/m-K to 29.1, 19.5, and 24.0 W/m-K, respectively. The calculated thermal conductivity results are fitted using a linear function for lengths of 60.3–399.5 nm

\[
\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa_\infty} \left( \frac{2L}{l} + 1 \right)
\]

where L is effective phonon mean free path and κ_∞ is thermal conductivity for infinite length nanoribbon. The fitted results for 1/κ and 1/l are shown in Figure 4b. The predicted thermal conductivities for infinite-length MoS2, MoSe2, and bilayer are 32.9, 24.8, and 28.8 W/m-K, respectively. The calculated thermal conductivity of MoS2/MoSe2 2D sheet is on the same order of magnitude with most TMDC materials. The preserved in-plane thermal conductivity combined with its extraordinary electrical properties give this heterostructure advantageous positions in nanoelectronic and optoelectronic applications.

To gain a further insight into the different phonon behaviors of freestanding and supported monolayers, phonon spectral energy density (SED) is performed based on equation 5

\[
\phi(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{4 \pi N} \sum_{a} \sum_{\Omega} m_a \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{\omega f}, b} v_{nf} \Delta \left( \frac{n_{\omega f}}{b} \right) \exp \left[ i (n_{\omega f} - \omega) - i \omega t \right] \, dt
\]

where N represents the number of total unit cells, τ is the integration time, a is the integration direction (x, y, z), B is the total number of atoms in a unit cell, v_0 is the velocity of atom b in unit cell n_{\omega f} at time step t, and r is the equilibrium position of unit cell n_{\omega f}. The SED calculation is performed under NVE ensemble with an integration time step of 0.1 fs for a total simulation time of 100 ps. The unit-cell length a is 3.292 Å. The calculated SED results for freestanding and supported MoSe2 are shown in Figure 5a,b, respectively. It can be observed that the flexural phonon mode in MoSe2 at k_0 point shifted to a higher frequency in the bilayer structure. Similar results have also been observed in silicene, graphene, and

Figure 4. (a) Dependence of thermal conductivity with system length l. The predicted thermal conductivity increases monotonically with system length and gradually converges at the highest length values. (b) Inverse correlations between 1/κ and 1/l. Bulk thermal conductivities are extracted based on the linear fitting profiles. Coupling strength χ equals 1. Each data point is averaged from three independent simulations with different initial conditions.

Figure 5. Phonon spectral energy densities (a) freestanding MoSe2 and (b) supported MoSe2 in MoSe2/MoS2 bilayer. The shading areas indicate the SED magnitude for 2D Fourier transform of each k and f combination with an integration time of 100 ps.
hexagonal boron nitride. The variation is mainly attributed to the restricted phase space for phonon–phonon scattering in the out-of-plane direction and the weak vdW interactions between MoSe2 and MoS2, which could modify the interatomic force constants of MoSe2. The SED differences shed some light on the discrepancies of phonon thermal transport in freestanding and supported MoSe2.

3.2. Effects of Temperature and Coupling Strength.

Thermal interface materials are often placed under extreme working environments with a wide range of ambient conditions. The most relevant environmental variables are temperature and contact pressure, which could directly affect the device’s thermal performances and cause adverse effects on structural stability. Therefore, effects of system temperature and coupling strength on in-plane thermal conductivity are investigated. A bilayer system of 30.0 × 3.1 (x × y) nm² is selected for both the temperature and coupling strength studies. Different system temperatures of 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 K are applied and the calculated κ results are shown in Figure 6. It is observed that thermal conductivities of both MoS2 and MoSe2 decrease monotonically with temperature, which is as expected for phonon dominated crystalline materials. As the system temperature is increased, higher frequency phonons become activated and the phonon population grows. As a result, the Umklapp phonon scattering becomes more severe, which directly reduces thermal conductivity in the 2D sheet. The maximum κ reduction of MoS2, MoSe2, and bilayers are calculated as 83.0, 68.9, and 77.1%, respectively. Similar results have been reported by Taube et al. using Raman method. The measured thermal conductivity of supported MoS2 decreases from 62.2 W/m·K at 300 K to 7.45 W/m·K at 450 K. The decreasing trend of thermal conductivity has also been confirmed for few-layer MoS2 structures using a microbridge method. The calculated thermal conductivity results are fitted with an inverse relationship with temperature (κ ≈ 1/T). It can be observed that the fitting curves soundly match the calculated thermal conductivities, indicating that the Umklapp scattering is dominant at this temperature range.

Effects of coupling strength on in-plane thermal conductivity is investigated by altering the LJ potential coupling strength χ to different values of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0. The calculated thermal conductivities are plotted against χ in Figure 7. Each data point is averaged from three independent simulations with different initial conditions. It shows that thermal conductivity has negligible changes with coupling strength, suggesting that the basal-plane thermal performance of MoS2/MoSe2 bilayer will not be affected by interfacial interactions. To gain further insights about the effect of coupling strength on phonon behaviors, phonon power spectra of MoS2 and MoSe2 in the heterostructure are calculated, respectively, for all χ values. The phonon power spectra can be calculated by taking the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function (VACF)

\[
G(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\langle v(0) \cdot v(t) \rangle}{\langle v(0) \cdot v(0) \rangle} e^{i\omega t} \, dt
\]

where v is atom velocity and the angle brackets mean ensemble averaging. The calculated velocity densities of state (VDOSs) are shown in Figure 8. It is shown that for both MoS2 and MoSe2, the VDOSs are softened with increasing χ. However, the peak locations remain unchanged in all cases, indicating that the group velocities are not affected by coupling strength.
3.3. Out-of-Plane Thermal Conductance. Out-of-plane thermal conductance also plays a vital role in heat dissipations. Using a refined optothermal Raman technique, interfacial thermal resistance \( R \) between MoS\(_2\)/Au and MoSe\(_2\)/SiO\(_2\) is characterized as \( 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \) K·m\(^2\)/W and \( 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \) K·m\(^2\)/W, respectively.\(^{47}\) On the basis of Raman spectroscopy method, Yuan et al.\(^{47}\) reported thickness-dependent interfacial thermal resistance between MoS\(_2\) and crystalline silicon. The measured \( R \) decreases from \( 1.03 \times 10^{-6} \) to \( 1.46 \times 10^{-8} \) K·m\(^2\)/W with increasing MoS\(_2\) layer numbers. The reduced \( R \) value reveals their better interface contact with substrate, leading to accordingly improved interfacial energy coupling. Despite the above-mentioned investigations on interfacial thermal conductance between MoS\(_2\)/MoSe\(_2\) and substrates, however, the \( R \) value within MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) bilayer has not yet been explored. In this work, the interfacial thermal resistance between MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) is calculated using the transient pump–probe method. Lateral dimensions of the heterostructure are \( 14.1 \times 14.6 \) (\( x \times y \)) nm\(^2\). Periodic boundary conditions are applied in \( x \) and \( y \) directions and free boundary condition is used in the out-of-plane \( z \) direction. After the system reaches thermal equilibrium at temperature 300 K, a thermal impulse of \( 6 \times 10^{12} \) W/m\(^2\) is applied to the MoSe\(_2\) monolayer continuously for 50 fs. Upon the release of the excitation, \( T_{\text{MoSe}_2} \) increases to \( \sim 520 \) K, while \( T_{\text{MoS}_2} \) remains at 300 K. In the following thermal relaxation process, system energy \( E \) of MoSe\(_2\) \( T_{\text{MoSe}_2} \) and \( T_{\text{MoS}_2} \) is recorded at each time step for 1000 ps. Temperature and energy evolutions of the system are shown in Figure 9a,b separately. On the basis of eq 6, the interfacial thermal resistance can be calculated by best-fitting the energy profiles. It can be observed in Figure 9b that the fitting curve soundly matches the MD result, indicating the validity of the predicted value.

Aside from the MoSe\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoS\(_2\) thermal transport, interfacial thermal resistance in the reverse direction MoS\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoSe\(_2\) is also calculated. The predicted results from temperature of 100 to 500 K are shown in Figure 10. Each data point is averaged from five independent simulations with different initial conditions. The error bars represent standard deviations. Two phenomena are observed from the calculated results. First, the predicted thermal resistance decreases with increasing temperature in both directions. Second, \( R \) of MoS\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoSe\(_2\) is slightly lower than that of MoSe\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoS\(_2\), indicating that the heat flow runs preferably from MoS\(_2\) to MoSe\(_2\) than in the reverse direction. For example, at temperature 300 K \( R \) of MoS\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoSe\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) \( \rightarrow \) MoS\(_2\) equal \( 3.39 \times 10^{-7} \) and \( 3.56 \times 10^{-7} \) K·m\(^2\)/W, respectively. It has been proven that at vdW heterojunctions, inelastic scattering provides the major contribution to the energy transport, surpassing that of elastic scattering at high temperatures.\(^{48}\) The increased probability of inelastic scattering is due to the fact that at high temperature, the high-frequency phonons might break down into large volumes of low-frequency phonons. These low-frequency phonons have higher probability being transferred through an interface when compared with the high-frequency phonons, leading to higher phonon transmission coefficients and lowered interfacial thermal resistance for the system with increased temperature. Wu et al.\(^{49}\) investigated the role of anharmonicity in the thermal transport across a model interface consisting of a monatomic lattice and a diatomic lattice. It is found that the anharmonicity inside a material plays an important role in interfacial thermal transport by facilitating the energy communication between different phonon modes. The anharmonicity at the interface has much less impact on the interfacial thermal transport. The stronger anharmonic scattering at higher temperatures leads to more energy redistribution to low-frequency phonons, which can transfer heat across the interface more efficiently.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The in-plane thermal conductivity and out-of-plane thermal conductance between two popular TMDC materials, MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\), are systematically investigated. The predicted \( \kappa \) for infinite length MoS\(_2\)/MoSe\(_2\) heterostructure equals 28.8 W/m·K at room temperature, which preserves the high thermal conductivity of MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\) monolayers and gives it advantageous positions in nanoelectronic and optoelectronic applications. System temperature is found to dramatically decrease the calculated lateral thermal conductivity, leading to maximum \( \kappa \) reductions amounting to 83.0, 68.9, and 77.1%, respectively, for MoS\(_2\), MoSe\(_2\), and bilayer. On the contrary, the
increased temperature could facilitate the out-of-plane thermal conductance by reducing the interfacial thermal resistance values. Moreover, the calculated thermal conductivity of MoS$_2$/MoSe$_2$ bilayer does not change with coupling strengths, which is beneficial to pliable heterostructures because the thermal performance will not be affected by contact pressure alterations. These results not only shed light on the phonon transport mechanisms within MoS$_2$/MoSe$_2$ heterostructures but also provide guidelines for the design and optimization of such interfaces for thermal management in TMDC-based electronic devices.
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